

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,774	03/05/2002	Yu-Ming Huang	0941-0418P-SP 8816		
2292 75	590 10/05/2004	EXAMINER			
	VART KOLASCH &	HARVEY, DAVID E			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Things directly the 220th of the			2614		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	7	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/087,774	ŀ	HUANG ET AL				
		Examiner	1	Art Unit				
		DAVID E HARVE		2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	July 2004.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Applicati	on Papers							
,—	The specification is objected to by the Exami							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-413)				
3) X Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/27/2004</u> .	08) 5) 6)		e tent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2614

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cottle et al [US #6,263,396].

As is broadly illustrated in figure 18e, <u>Cottle et al.</u> described an OSD control system which comprises:

- a) A display memory comprised of a frame memory (@ 312);
- b) A memory (240); and
- c) Circuitry for copying OSD data into the display memory (312) from the memory (240).

Art Unit: 2614

- 3. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cottle et al [US #6,263,396] for the reasons set forth for claim 1 above. Further, the following is noted:
  - A) With respect to claim 2: Note element 272 of figure 18B.
  - B) With respect to claim 3: Note element 1040 of figure 18B.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

  Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35

Art Unit: 2614

U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cottle et al [US #6,263,396].

Cottle et al. disclose a system as was set forth for claim 1 above.

The claims differ from the showing of <u>Cottle et al.</u> only in the recitation of the "bit block transfer flag".

It would at least have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the system disclosed by Cottle et al. with "flag" for tracking transfers of the OSD via the bit block transfer mode.

7. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cottle et al [US #6,263,396].

Cottle et al. disclose a system as was set forth for claim 1 above.

The claims differ from the showing of <u>Cottle et al.</u> only in the recitation of the "overlay flag".

It would at least have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the system disclosed by Cottle et al. with "flag" for tracking the window location

Art Unit: 2614

configuration of the frame memory into which the OSD is transferred; i.e. such flags would be cleared wherever the window configuration was changed.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID E HARVEY whose telephone number is (703) 305-4365. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f from 6am to 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller, can be reached on 703 305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

Art Unit: 2614

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID E HARVEY
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2614

Page 6